



శ్రీకాకుళం జిల్లా రాజపత్రము

సా ధా రణ ము

అధికారమువలన ప్రచురించబడినది.

నెం. 4	శ్రీకాకుళం, 2009వ సంవత్సరము, ఆగష్టు నెల, 24వ తేది, సోమవారము
విషయ సూచిక	
కలెక్టరు వారి ప్రకటనలు	15-24
జనరల్ ప్రకటనలు	24-24

కలెక్టరు వారి ప్రకటనలు

Copy of :-

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COLLECTOR & DISTRICT MAGISTRATE, SRIKAKULAM

Present : SRI NAGULAPALLI SRIKANT, I.A.S.,

Sub :- Caste certificate - Srikakulam District - Burja Mandal - Allena Village - Sri Divakara Sahu, Employee in Sri Vikas Gramseena Bank on the strength of Holva (ST) Community Certificate - Enquiry conducted - Proved false caste certificate - Cancellation of Caste Certificate - Orders - Issued.

- Read :-
- 1 This Office Rc. No. 1200/1999 C1, dated 13-4-2002.
  - 2 Appeal petition filed by Sri Divakara Sahu S/o Lakshmana Sahu, resident of Allena Village, Burja Mandal.
  - 3 This Office Rc. No. 1419/2002C1, dated 30-10-2002.
  - 4 G. O. Ms. No. 6 SW (CV.2) Department, dated 20-1-2004.
  - 5 W P. No 2388 of 2004 of High Court of Judicature, Hyderabad.
  - 6 Govt. Memo No. 254/CV. 2/2004-2 SW (CV.2) Department, dated 5-5-2006.
  - 7 This Office Rc. No. 1419/2002 C1, dated 20-12-2008.
  - 8 Report of the Chairman, DLSC & JC, Srikakulam.
  - 9 This Office Rc. No. 1419/2002 C1, dated 25-4-2009.
  10. Representation dated 20-5-2009 of Sri Divakara Sahu, Allena Village, Burja Mandal.
  11. This Office Rc. No. 1419/2002 C1, dated 19-6-2009.
  12. Representation dated 30-6-2009 of Sri Divakara Sahu, Allena Village, Burja Mandal.

\* \* \* \* \*

Sri Divakara Sahu, S/o Lakshmana Sahu of Allena Village of Burja Mandal has obtained HOLVA (ST) caste certificate from the then Tahsildar, Palakonda and secured Job in Sri Visakha Gramena Bank, Korasavada Branch.

On allegation petition received during the year 1985, the matter was got enquired into by the Mandal Revenue Officer, Burja and enquiries established that the individual belongs to Oriya Brahmin (OC) but not HOLVA (ST). The Revenue Divisional Officer, Palakonda cancelled the caste certificate and the fact was published in Srikakulam District Gazette, dated 23-9-1988.

Aggrieved by the orders of cancellation of caste certificate, the individual filed W P 6635/97 in the Hon'ble Court and the Court made the following order at the admission stage.

"The first respondent (Chairman Sri Visakha Gramena Bank) shall not take any action in pursuance of the show cause notice dated 24-3-1997 issued to the petitioner pending the representation of the petitioner before the 2nd respondent (i.e., Collector, Srikakulam) in regard to the matter of his belonging to a particular community. The 2nd Respondent is directed to dispose of such a representation or the question within two months from the date of communication of the order."

The matter was referred to the District Level Scrutiny Committee for conduct of re-enquiry and to send the findings.

The District Level Scrutiny Committee has issued form VI notice to the individual to attend before the Committee on 27-11-99 and to furnish documentary evidence in support of his claim. The individual has attended on the date of hearing and nothing was submitted in support of his Community claim except Xerox copy of caste certificate issued by the then Tahsildar, Palakonda in the year 1983 along with brochure of ST castes. All the Committee Members have conducted discrete enquiries and reported that the individual belongs to Oriya speaking "Oriya Brahmin" and that he fraudulently obtained the false "HOLVA" (ST) caste certificate by misleading and misrepresenting the facts to the then Tahsildar, Palakonda.

After pursuing the records and reports the District Level Scrutiny Committee has finally opined that the individual belongs to "Oriya Brahmin" caste by birth, but not "HOLVA" (ST) community and recommended for cancellation of HOLVA (ST) caste certificate fraudulently by the individual from the then Tahsildar, Palakonda. Basing on the findings of the District Level Scrutiny Committee, the District Collector has issued notice to the individual to attend on 25-3-2002 and on 1-4-2002 duly enclosing a copy of committee report for making representation if any with supported documents. The individual attended before the Collector and no documentary evidence has been submitted in support of his claim but he submitted memorandum stating that the AP (SC/ST/BC) regulation of issue of Community Certificate Act 16/83 is not applicable to his case as the ST caste certificate obtained long back prior to the commencement of the Act. Accordingly the circular memo No. 12532/J2/97-1 SW, dated 23-7-97, the Government have clarified that if any certificate issued in violation of the Government rules and orders prior to the commencement of the Act 16/93 they have to be treated as invalid and cancelled after following due procedure.

Accordingly, the powers conferred under Section 5(1) of the Act 16/93 (SC/ST/BCs) Regulation of issue community certificate the false "Holva" (ST) caste certificate fraudulently obtained by Sri Divakara Sahu, S/o Lakshmana Sahu of Allena Village, Burja Mandal was cancelled and it was published in the District Gazette No. 2, dated 23-5-2002 vide reference 1st cited.

Aggrieved by the records, the individual filed an appeal petition before the Government (SW) Department, A.P., Hyderabad requesting to set aside the order of the Collector issued in Progs. Rc. No. 1200/99 C1, dated 13-4-2002 and pass such other order or orders. The individual also filed stay petition before the Government and that the Government in their Memo No. 9551/CV 2/2002-4 SW (CV 2) dated 18-2-2003 granted stay on the operation of the proceedings of the Collector, dated 13-4-2002 pending disposal of the appeal petition under rules issued in G. O. Ms. No. 58 SW(J) Department, dated 12-5-1997.

The Government in their G. O. Ms. No. 6 SW (CV.2) Department, dated 20-1-2004 observed that though reasonable opportunity has been given to the individual to produce evidence in support of his social status to the Government, he has failed to produce any documentary evidence in support of his HOLVA caste and no substantial recorded proof has been produced to establish his social status claim and after careful examination of the facts, material papers available on record, vacated the stay granted to the appellant and unhelp the proceedings of the Collector.

Again the individual has filled W P No. 2388/2004, dated 13-2-2004 before the Hon'ble High Court and that the High Court set aside the G. O. Ms. No. 6, dated 20-1-2004 and the matter remitted back to the Government to pass appropriate orders after giving notice to the petitioner or his counsel by RP with act due or serving notice.

The Government in Memo No. 1254/CV 2/2004-4 SW (CV 2) Department, dated 5-5-2006, after perusing the written statement filed by the individual, opined that the close scrutiny of the records revealed that the appellant was not given fair chance to prove his claim and hence the case is remitted back to the Collector for reconsideration duly giving a fair chance to the appellant to provide his ST claim and take further necessary action.

In pursuance of the Government Memo 6th cited, enquiry has been conducted. under Section 9 of AP (SC/ST/BC) issue of Community, Nativity and Date of Birth Rules 1997, the case has been referred to DLSC.

The Chairman & Joint Collector, District Level Scrutiny Committee, Srikakulam issued notice to Sri Divakara Sahu of Allena Village to attend before the District Level Scrutiny Committee, on 31-1-2009. He was directed to furnish all the documentary evidence in support of his ST community claim. Sri Divakara Sahu, Allena Village has attended before the District Level Scrutiny Committee on 31-1-2009 and submitted his written statement. The contention of Sri Divakara Sahu is as follows.

1. According to Volume-1 of Castes and Tribes of Southern India the Oriya Kotia groups are divided into 12 sections and one of the section is known as "Holva". Holva means Yoke of plough. The Holvas are stated to be engaged in ordinary cultivation which is forbidden by Manu. The Holva is a synonym of Pantiya and name of a section of Oriya Brahmin who plough the land and the Pantiya also called themselves Holva. It is also clarified that Halva, Holva and Holuva are synonyms and are only phonetic variations. The Government of AP in its publication Adima Jathula has stated under the heading of Kotia at (1) that Haluva is part of Kotia and went on to mention that among Brahmins, there is a particular sect which took to cultivation and one known as Holuvas. On the basis of above material he belongs to Holva Community. In the publication of Adima Jathulu the Halvas are stated to wear sacred thread Tulasi seeds and are meat eaters and thus he satisfied all the criteria mentioned in the above publications as his ancestors and himself engaged in plowing of land and wear sacred thread and meat eatings.

- 2 The birth register mentioned in the enquiry report merely mentioned the date of Birth and it is not designed to be an authority of his castes.
- 3 That there is no material basis for the authority to hold that his claim was fraudulent.
- 4 That on 11-4-1997, the High Court directed the disposal of his representations with two months. The first notice was issued in the year 1999. In reply to the notice issued by the Collector, he has submitted his representations to the Director of Tribal Welfare, A.P., Hyderabad and he is the competent authority to decide whether he belongs to ST. He has not received any findings so far.
- 5 The Chairman, Sri Vikas Gramseena Bank has made a reference to the Collector for verification of his Holva Certificate, the District Collector vide letter No. 2355/84 dated 16-11-1984 reported that there was nothing adverse about the Holva certificate. The District Level Scrutiny Committee has not enquired on the above document and the District Level Scrutiny Committee has arrived conclusion without examining the witnesses.
- 6 In the year 1983, he was given Holva certificate. The certificate was issued after due enquiry and proper satisfactions.
- 7 Under rule 5 of AP (SC/ST/BC) Regulation of issue of Community Certificate rules 1997, the Collector has powers of cancellations, only if a false community certificate obtained. He has not obtained this certificate by receipt or on the basis of any false document.
- 8 The SC/ST/BC (Regulation of issue of Community Certificate) rules 1997 is not applicable to his case because his certificate was obtained as ST long back prior to communicate of the Act.

Sri Divakara Sahu has requested to grant time to produce some records/documents. Hence, the case is adjourned and posted to 7-2-2009 for hearing. The individual has attended on 7-2-2009. He has produced the books of "Castes and Tribes of Southern India and Andhra Pradesh Adima Jathulu". He has submitted a copy of petition dated 19-12-2001, copy of 1st page of attestation forms, copy of TC of Pradeep Kumar Sahu, copy of study certificate of Pradeep Kumar Sahu, copy of voter list of Mamidivalasa and Kakondyam Revenue Villages containing 3 pages, copy of phonetic pronunciation of Holva and copy of District Census Hand Book of 1962 containing page 2 and extract of Gazette of VSP 1907 containing 1 page. The written statement and the above documents submitted by Sri Divakara Sahu have been examined. The findings are as follows.

Finding on Point 1 : It is a fact that Kotiya, Benthoo, Oriya, Barika, Dhulia, Holva, Pakio, Patiya Sinorona, Sidhpanko identified in the ST Community. But the point for consideration is whether Sri Divakara Sahu, Allena Village belongs to Holva or not. The individual has not produced any evidence to prove that he belongs to Holva.

Finding on Point 2 : The contention of the petitioner is that the birth register is meant for to decide the date of birth but not to decide the castes is not correct. It is nowhere mentioned that the birth and death register is meant for to decide the date of birth only. The death and birth register is designed duly indicating both Date of Birth and caste also. The entries made in the register are all authenticated.

Finding on Point 3 : The contention of Sri Divakara Sahu that there is no material basis for the authority to hold that his claim was fraudulent is not correct. The following records/reports are available to decide the genuineness of the claim of the above individual.

- (1) Extract of Birth and Death Register issued by the Mandal Revenue Officer, Burja.
- (2) Report of the Mandal Revenue Officer, Burja in D. Dis. 969/87, dated 2-1-1988.
- (3) Report of the Superintendent of Police, Srikakulam in C. No. 2649/C3/99, dated 30-12-1999.
- (4) Report of the District B. C. Welfare Officer, Srikakulam in reference No. 85/296/99, dated 20-1-2008.
- (5) Report of the Joint Director, TCR & TI, Paderu in RC/Caste/Sk1/2001/TCR/TI, Paderu.
- (6) Report of the Revenue Divisional Officer, Srikakulam in D. Dis. 613/2000C, dated 3-1-2001.

In all the above reports, it was categorically stated that Sri Divakara Sahu, Allena Village does not belong to "Holva" (ST) and he belongs to Oriya Brahmin.

Finding on Point 4 : The contention of Sri Divakara Sahu that the Director of Tribal Welfare, A.P. is the competent authority to decide ST community is not correct. The competent authority as specified under column (2) of annexure-I appended to the A.P. SC/ST/BC Issue Community, Date of Birth Rules, 1997 shall issue certificate to the community specified in Column-I within the territorial jurisdiction as specified in Column (3) thereof.

Finding on Point 5 : The contention of Sri Divakara Sahu that the Chairman, Sri Vikas Gramina Bank referred to the Collector for verification and that the Collector in Lr. no. 2355/84, dated 16-11-1989 reported that there was nothing adverse about Holva certificate and the District Level Scrutiny Committee has not conducted enquiry in the matter is not tenable. He has not produced the documents in the matter.

Finding on Point 6 : It is a fact that, Sri Divakara Sahu was issued Holva Certificate. Subsequently, on a complaint made against Sri Divakara Sahu, the matter was got enquired and cancelled the said certificate.

Finding on Point 7 : The contention of the petitioner that Collector is competent to cancel only if a false community certificate obtained and that he is not obtained false community certificate is not correct. As per the provisions under A.P. (SC/ST/BC) Regulation of Issue of Community Certificate) Rules 1997. When the District Collector received a written complaint from any person or has other wise reason to believe that a person not belonging to SC/ST/BC has obtained false community, the District Collector shall refer the case to the Chairman, Scrutiny Committee and take necessary action basing on the report of District Level Scrutiny Committee.

Finding on Point 8 : The contention of the petitioner that the SC/ST/BC Regulation of issue of community certificate rules, 1997 is not applicable because his certificate was obtained as ST long back prior to commencement of the Act is not correct. According to Government circular memo No. 1251/j2/97-1 SW Department dated 23-7-1997, Government have clarified that not only if any certificate issued in violating of Government rules and orders prior to the commencement of the Act they have treated as invalid, but also if any evidence is brought for the or any representation or information is received that a community certificate issued before the commencement of the Act is false. then necessary action under the Act and rules notified has to be initiated immediately for cancellation of such certificate. Thus Act 16/93 does not prevent the authorities concerned from taking action to cancel such false certificates.



Sri Divakara Sahu has submitted the following documents.

- (1) Book on Castes and Tribes of Southern India.
- (2) Book on Andhra Pradesh Adima Jathulu.
- (3) Copy of TC of Pradeep Kumar Sahu.
- (4) Copy of Study certificate of Pradeep Kumar Sahu.
- (5) Copy of Voter list of Mamidivalasa and Kandyam Revenue Villages.
- (6) Copy of Phonetic Pronunciation of Holva.
- (7) Copy of District Census Hand Book 1962.
- (8) Extract of Gazette of VSP 1907.

The above documents have been verified and found that there is evidence available to prove the claim of Sri Divakara Sahu, Allena Village is genuine.

The District Level Scrutiny Committee has verified the following material evidence available on records.

(1) Birth and Death Register : The Tahsildar, Burja in Rc No. 969/87 C, Dated 2-1-1988 has submitted the extract of Birth Register. As per the Birth Register Sri Divakara Sahu born on 27-1-1958. In the Column.7 of register his community was recorded as Oriya Brahmin. His father Lakshmana Sahu has signed on the Birth and Death Register as the time of recording the details in the register.

(2) Report of the Superintendent of Police, Srikakulam : The Superintendent of Police, Srikakulam has reported as follows. Divakara Sahu belongs to Oriya Brahmin Community. The same fact was disclosed by VAO of Allena Village of Burja Mandal. In this area the Oriya Brahmins command good respect in the public as that of Telugu Brahmins as they attend "Archaka" duties in the temple besides performing "Purchit" duties during the marriages and death ceremonies. The Oriya Brahmin do not belong to Holva (ST) community. During enquiry, it also revealed that Jathapu, Savara Girijans, Dhobies, Barbers, Vaddi people residing in Orissa State are being called as Holva (ST) community.

(3) Report of the DIWO, Seethampeta : The Tribal Welfare Officer, Seethampeta has reported that he has visited the Village of Allena of Burja Mandal on 4-4-2001 and enquired about the caste and its genuineness of Divakara Sahu in the presence of the concerned Village Administrative Officer and other elders of Allena Village and his enquiry reveals is as follows.

"Sri Divakara Sahu S/o Lakshmana Sahu is a native place of Allena Village in Burja Mandal, He had secured a job under ST reservation by producing ST Caste certificate as HOLVA. He has also enquired to their families who residing in Allena, Mamidivalasa and O. V. Peta Village in Burja Mandal. They are informed at the time of enquiry that they are belongs to Oriya Brahmin very clearly but not Holva. Their births are also recorded their birth in the Birth registers as Oriya Brahmin. The traditions of these people wearing Jandyan like Brahmins and there is no remarriages in their women. These people are talking in Oriya and Telugu languages. They are eating all non-vegetarian. The VAO, Allena stated that Sri Divakara Sahu S/o Lakshmana Sahu of Allena are belong Oriya Brahmins. They are negotiated with the families of Chinna Merangi, Gaddikolu in Andhra and Bottava Kasinagar in Orissa. In view of the above facts, it is therefore established that the caste of Sri Divakara Sahu, S/o Lakshmana Sahu of Allena Village is Oriya Brahmin but not Holva ST caste".

(4) Report of the Dist. BC Welfare Officer, Srikakulam : He visited the Allena Village on 20-4-2000 and met the Village Administrative Officer and enquired about the genuineness of the caste of Sri Divakara Sahu. Sri Gowri Visweswara Rao, VAO has informed that Sri Divakara Sahu S/o Lakshmana Sahu is working in Visakha Gramina Bank. His father namely Sri Lakshmana Sahu has worked as a Teacher in Elementary School, Allena Village and now retired and at present residing at Palakonda. He knows his family for the last 40 years. All the family members of Divakara Sahu and their relatives left their Village long back. They are being called as Oriya in the village. He also enquired from Sri Gedala Mowleswara Rao, water committee president, Donkalaparthi. As per his statement, it was informed that Sri Divakara Sahu is his class mate studied upto 10th class. He knows about his family and their relatives. All the family members and relatives are left Allena Village about 20 years back. Their family is being called in the village as Oriyas. They are also being called as Dukku pandas or Vullava.

(5) Report of the Joint Director, TCR & TI, Paderu : Sri Divakara Sahu native of Allena Village of Burja Mandal Srikakulam District working in Visakha Gramina Bank, Korasavada, Branch of Srikakulam District. His enquiry reveals that he belongs to Oriya Brahmin it has been ascertained from the villagers that they belong to Holva Community by origin. They migrated from neighbouring Orissa state mainly depending on agriculture and adopt Oriya Brahminical customs. The Holva community which is one of the sections of Oriya Brahmin the other sections like Santa, Danua, Podhiya, Soma, Barua etc., Sri Divakara Sahu, Allena Village belongs to holuva community which is the 5th Section out of 12 section of Oriya Brahmin but not Holva (ST) community.

(6) Report of the Revenue Divisional Officer, Srikakulam : Sri Divakara Sahu, S/o Lakshmana Sahu is a native of Allena Village of Burja Mandal. The individual's family members and close relatives are living in the plain area in and around the Burja Mandal. They used to wear Jandyan like Brahmins. They are speaking Telugu and Oriya languages. They are being treated as forward community in the society. The individual belongs to Oriya Brahmin caste but not Holva (ST) community. As could be seen from the birth and Death registers pertaining to the period 1957 and 1961 of Allena and Mamidivalasa Villages of Burja Mandal it is found that the caste of Sri Madusudana Padi of Allena and Sri Appanna Sahu of Mamidivalasa who are relatives of the individual Sri Divakara Sahu was recorded as Oriya Brahmin in the caste column. His enquiry reveals that Sri Divakara Sahu, S/o Lakshmana Sahu of Allena Village of Burja Mandal belongs to Oriya Brahmin caste, which is forward caste but not Holva (ST) community.

In the circumstances, the District Level Scrutiny Committee has felt that Sri Divakara Sahu of Allena Village of Burja Mandal belongs to Oriya Brahmin. He does not belong to Holva of ST Community. Accordingly, the DLSC has submitted report to the Collector.

In pursuance of the Government Memo No. 4149/C1/2000 dated 29-3-2001 a notice was issued to Sri Divakara Sahu to attend before the Collector on 2-5-2009 to rebut the recommendation of the District Level Scrutiny Committee. The individual has attended before the Collector on 20-5-2009 and submit explanation stating that he was not given opportunity to prove his claim of his ST caste. Hence the individual was given opportunity to attend before the Collector on 30-6-2009 to prove his ST caste claim.

The individual has attended before the Collector on 30-6-2009 and submitted written explanation as follows.

- 1 That he belongs to Holva Community that he was bonafied belief about Holva in the basis of following material.
  - (1) Accordingly Vol. 1 of Castes and Tribes of Southern India at Page 387, it is stated that the Oriya Brahmins are divided in the 12 sections and one of the section known as Holva (Holo) means yoke of plough.
  - (2) At page 338, the Holvas are stated to be engaged in ordinary cultivation which is forbidden by Manu.
  - (3) At Page 351, it is further clarified that the Holva is a synonym of Pantiya and name of a section of Oriya Brahmin, who plough the land at Page 189 Vol. VI of Caste and Tribes, the Pantiyas who called themselves Holuva. It is who clarified that Holava. Holva and Holuva are synonyms and are only phonetic variation. The Government of A.P. in its publication A.P., Adima Jathulu has stated under the heading of Kotia at that (a) Haluva is part of Kotia and went on the mention that among Brahmins, there is a particular seek which took the cultivation and one known as Haluvas at Page 46.
- 2 That the birth register mentioned in the enquiry report merely mentioned the date of birth and its is not designed to be an authority of his castes.
- 3 That the Director of Tribal Welfare is the competent authority to decide whether he belongs to Holuva ST. The findings of the D. O. T.W. still not received.
- 4 The District Collector himself refer vide letter No. 2355/84 dated 16-1-1984 that there was nothing adverse about the Holva certificate and he accordingly endorsed its genuiness.
- 5 That he has not made any misrepresentation and obtained the certificate fraudulently. There is no element of fraud or misrepresentation. There is not deceit involved.
- 6 The A.P. (SC/ST/BC) Regulation of issue of Community Certificate Act 1993 is not applicable at all his case, because his certificate was obtained as ST long prior to the commencement of the Act. The certificate was cancelled by the Revenue Divisional Officer. This order was set aside by the High Court in W.P. No. 9529 of 1990, dated 19-1-2001. Thus, the inquiries conducted and powers assumed under this Act are unsustainable in law.

The above explanation and documents submitted by the delinquent Divakara Sahu has been examined in detail. It is not dispute that Holva caste belongs to ST. The thing is whether the delinquent Divakara Sahu belongs to Holva or not. The District Level Scrutiny Committee reported that the delinquent Divakara Sahu does not belongs to Holva ST. In spite of opportunity provided to him the delinquent has not produced any evidence to prove that he belongs to Holva.

The contention of the petitioner that birth register merely meant for date of birth and it does not design to be authority on caste is not tenable. During of enquiry every valid recorded evidence will be taken into consideration. The Birth and Death Register is a valid record, maintain by responsible Officer as the register is prescribed by the Government. As such the individual cannot question the validity of the register.

The contention of the petitioner that the Director of Tribal Welfare, A.P., Hyderabad is competent authority to decide whether he belongs to ST or not it not correct. The delinquent has



not produced any evidence in support of his contention. There are no such of instructions that the Director of Tribal Welfare, A.P., Hyderabad is the competent authority to decide ST caste.

The contention of the petitioner, that the District Collector himself refer that there was nothing adverse about the Holva Certificate of the delinquent is not correct.

The contention of the petitioner, that he has not misrepresented and obtained the caste fraudulently is also is not correct. As per the report of the District Level Scrutiny Committee, the delinquent does not belongs to ST. The delinquent has not produced any evidence to prove that he belongs to ST. Hence, it is revealed that the delinquent has fraudulently obtained the ST caste Certificate.

The contention of the petitioner that AP (SC/ST/BC) Regulation Certificate Act, 1993 is not applicable in his case because his certificate was obtained as ST long back prior to the commencement of the Act is not correct. Government in circular memo No. 1251/j2/97-1 SW Department dated 23-7-1997 have clarified that not only if any certificate issued in violating of Government rules and orders prior to the commencement of the Act they have treated invalid, but also if any evidence is brought forth and any representation or information is received that a community certificate issued before the commencement of the Act is false, then action under the Act and rules notified has to be initial immediately for cancellation of such certificate.

Having gone through the information placed before me, I am satisfied with the findings of the District Level Scrutiny Committee and after perusing the documents submitted the caste of the individual was recorded as 'Oriya Brahmin' in the Birth Register of Allena Village. I am of the opinion that the candidate Sri Divakara Sahu S/o Lakshmana Sahu belongs to Oriya speaking Oriya Brahmin by Birth and he had obtained false "Holva" (ST) caste certificate fraudulently by misrepresenting the facts which deserve cancellation.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Section 5(1) of AP (SC/ST/BC) Regulation of issue of Community Certificate Act 16/93, I Sri Nagulapalli Srikant, I.A.S., Collector & District Magistrate, Srikakulam do hereby cancel the Scheduled Tribe Caste Certificate fraudulently obtained as "Holva" (ST) by Sri Divakara Sahu, S/o Lakshmana Sahu of Allena Village of Burja Mandal from the then Tahsildar, Palakonda vide Rc. No. 1232/83 A4, dated 12-7-1983 with immediate effect declaring that the individual does not belongs to HOLVA (ST).

Note ÷ An appeal lies to the Principal Secretary to Government (SW/J2) Department, under Rule 10 of AP (SC/ST/BCs) issue of Community, Nativity & Date of Birth Certificate Rules 1997 within 30 days of receipt of this order.

NAGULAPALLI SRIKANT,  
Collector & District Magistrate,  
Srikakulam.

#### NOTIFICATION

In view of the recommendations of the District Level Scrutiny Committee and in exercise of the powers conferred under Sub rule 7 of rule 9 of AP (SC/ST/BC) issue of Community, Nativity and Date of Birth Certificate Rules, 1997 communicated vide G. O. Ms. No. 58 Social Welfare (J) Department, dated 12-5-1997 read with 5(1) of AP (SC/ST/BC) Regulation of issue of Community

Certificate Act 16/93, the fraudulent caste certificate obtained by Sri Divakara Sahu, S/o Lakshmana Sahu of Allena Village of Burja Mandal as "HOLVA" (ST) from the Tahsildar, Palakonda vide Rc. No. 1232/83 A4, dated 12-7-1983 is hereby cancelled duly declaring that the individual does not belongs to Scheduled Tribe Community vide Collector, Srikakulam Proceedings Rc. No. 1419/2002C1, dated 14-7-2009.

NAGULAPALLI SRIKANT,  
Collector & District Magistrate,  
Srikakulam.  
[Rc. No. 1419/2002C1.

### జనరల్ ప్రకటనలు

సవరణ

ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ సర్వే హద్దుల చట్టం క్రింద  
సెక్షన్ 13వ ప్రకటన యొక్క తప్పు ఒప్పుల పట్టిక

శ్రీకాకుళం జిల్లా రాజపత్రం నెం. 5(1) (అసాధారణము) తేది 21-5-2009 యొక్క పై ప్రకటనకు అనుబంధించి సర్వే ఇప్పుడు పూర్తి అయినది. ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ అనుకూలముగా నకు బదులుగా "సర్వే యిప్పుడు పూర్తి అయినదని ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ అనుకూలముగా" అనియు మరియు సావరకొట మండలము నకు బదులు సారవకొట మండలం అనియు మరియు గ్రామము నెం. 12నకు బదులు 120 అనియు చదువుకోవలెను.

సిహెచ్. వి. ఎస్. కుమార్,  
సహాయ సంచాలకులు,  
సర్వే మరియు భూమి రికార్డులు.

శ్రీకాకుళం,  
2009వ సంవత్సరము, ఆగష్టు నెల, 11వ తేది.